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(1) Risks to subjects are minimized. **No**

Comments: Augsburg CCHP is not the appropriate debriefing for participants who are not Augsburg associated, so either clarify or determine another place where participants can call for issues.

“May skip any question at any time,” statement needs to be on the consent form, #6 or 10.

Throughout the IRB application and consent form, varying ages are used. Please clarify which age range will be used to determine participants.

On the IRB form, section 11, you need to provide the verbal script used for recruitment.

(2)Risks to subjects are reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits. **No**

Comments:

On the IRB form, section 9, please explain how you believe the sample will be representative of the population.

 (2.a) Data collection method will protect the safety of subjects. **Yes**

 Comments: None

(3) Selection of subjects is equitable. **No**

Comments: On the IRB form, section 10.9 and on the consent form, please provide clarification of list of possible qualifications to be included in the study. This is very confusing and difficult to understand the list.

On the IRB, section 11.A.1. , you discuss recruitment, but the script refers to 18-30 years of age.

Section 11. Need to provide us with the exact posting/script used for Alumni.

Section 11.A.iii, need to fill out the section on posting.

(4) Informed consent will be appropriately documented. **No**

Comments:

Once the changes have been made to the proposal, appropriate changes need to be made to the consent form.

Clarification on the course credit on the consent form, #5.

New contact for the debriefing, on the consent form #4.

Principal investigator has conflict of interest and cannot be the first contact for people who are feeling distressed, on the consent form, #4.

(5) There are adequate provisions to maintain the confidentiality of data. **Yes**

Comments: None

VOTE:

**Revise and resubmit, For—6, Against—0, Abstain—0**